

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.19622 of 2015

Date of Decision:16/09/2015

Atam Prakash and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Harish Mehla, Advocate for the petitioners.

1. To be referred to the reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners seeks withdrawal of the present writ petition with liberty to make a comprehensive representation to respondent No.2 with regard to the grievance raised in the present writ petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

In case any such representation, the liberty of which is sought for, is made on behalf of the petitioners, respondent No.2 is directed to consider and decide the same by passing a speaking order and after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioners, preferably within three months from the date of receipt of such representation.

Needless to add that the decision so taken be communicated to the petitioners and if the decision is not to the satisfaction of the petitioners, they would be at liberty to challenge the same, in accordance with law.

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

16.09.2015
rajeev