

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 19610 of 2015 (O & M)

Date of decision: 07.10.2015

Charanjit Singh Brar

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mr. K.S. Sidhu, Advocate,
for the applicant-petitioner.

G.S.SANDHAWALIA, J. (Oral)

C.M. No. 13015 of 2015

Application for placing on record affidavit of the petitioner in compliance to order dated 16.09.2015 is allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

The same is taken on record.

CWP No. 19610 of 2015

The present writ petition has been filed for completing the inquiry to its logical end by deciding the representations dated 21.01.2013 and 15.05.2015 (Annexures P-1 and P-6) and to take necessary action against respondent no. 4 for deceptively securing the Government job.

The present case is a classic case as to how the inter se disputes amongst close relatives take up the time of the Government, who is forced to look into the allegations on ground of personal vendetta. It is not disputed that the petitioner is the brother-in-law (*Saddu*) of respondent no. 4, who was appointed way back in the year 1988. At a belated point of time, obviously, when relations inter se the two became bad, he filed an

application on 21.01.2013 alleging that the said respondent had taken employment on the basis of a wrong certificate and showing a handicap which was below the permissible limits. He, thus, alleged that a wrong employment had been made for the last 22-23 years and loss of crores of rupees had been incurred.

The Government, in its wisdom, also appointed an inquiry officer by charge sheeting the said person. It is apparent that the inquiry officer has also now taken a view which is not acceptable to the present petitioner and, therefore, he filed a fresh representation on 15.05.2015 saying that injustice has been done and the delinquent employee has been wrongly helped. This Court does not have the benefit of the inquiry report but it is apparent that on account of the personal axe which the petitioner has to grind, the State has been forced to act on its representations and it could have diverted its energies to much more fruitful exercises.

In such circumstances, this Court will not become a party to the personal litigation inter se the relatives.

Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed with ₹20,000/- as costs to be deposited with the Mediation and Conciliation Centre. In case the said amount is not deposited within a period of two months from today, the Collector, Faridkot will ensure that the amount is recovered as arrears of land revenue and submit a report that the needful has been done.

07.10.2015
shivani

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE