

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.19568 of 2015

Date of Decision:24/09/2015

Arti

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Harender Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. D.A.G. Haryana.

1. To be referred to the reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner, who is working as Extension Lecturers, has approached this Court for issuance of a direction to the respondents not to replace her by another set of Extension Lecturers.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. D.A.G. Haryana, who appears on behalf of the respondents, states that in view of the affidavit of the Additional Chief Secretary to Government of Haryana, Department of Higher Education dated 24.9.2015 filed in CWP No.17108 of 2015; Sukhvinder Kaur and others v. State of Haryana and others, the petitioner shall be allowed to continue on her post as Extension Lecturer subject to her work and conduct and availability of posts.

In view of the statement made by the learned State counsel, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the present petition.

Dismissed as not pressed.

However, for the other reliefs as raised in the present petition, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission of this Court to make a comprehensive representation to the respondents in this regard.

Permitted to do so.

If any such representation, the liberty of which is sought and got for, is made by the petitioner, the same would be considered and decided by respondent No.2 by passing a well reasoned and speaking order thereupon expeditiously.

Needless to add that the decision so taken be communicated to the petitioner and if the decision is not to the satisfaction of the petitioners she would be at liberty to challenge the same, in accordance with law.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

24.09.2015
rajeev