

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh.

**CWP No. 19554 of 2015
Decided on 16.9.2015**

M/s.Shanti Travels

--Petitioner

Vs.

Union of India and others

--Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: Mr. Akshay Bhan, Sr. Advocate, with
Mr.Amandeep Singh Talwar, Advocate, for the petitioner

Rakesh Kumar Jain,J: (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner has fairly submitted that against the cancellation of the license of the petitioner, statutory remedy of appeal has already been availed, inasmuch as the appeal, filed by the petitioner before the Secretary to Government of India, Ministry of Overseas Indian Affairs, under Section 23 of the Emigration Act,1983, is pending with the prayer for stay as well, but despite the expiry of more than three months, no date has been given by the Appellate Authority to consider either the appeal or the prayer for stay, as a result thereof, business of the petitioner is being adversely affected.

It is further submitted that a direction may be issued to the appellate authority, who is seized of the appeal, filed by the petitioner to decide the same expeditiously and preferably within one month.

The prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is innocuous, therefore, this Court feels that issuance of notice to the respondents is not necessary and direct that the Appellate Authority i.e. the Secretary to Government of India, Ministry of Overseas Indian Affairs with whom the appeal of the petitioner, attached as Annexure P-36 with this petition, is pending, shall decide the same within one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Disposed of.

16.9.2015
rr

(Rakesh Kumar Jain)
Judge