

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.124

**C.W.P. No.19513 of 2015
Date of decision: 15.09.2015**

Sultan Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Rohan Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Against the order of punishment dated 19.04.2012 (Annexure P-1), the petitioner had filed an appeal which was dismissed on 06.12.2012 (Annexure P-3). The revision petition by way of statutory revision before the Director General of Police, Haryana, filed on 04.01.2013 (Annexure P-4), which according to the petitioner till date remains undecided.

Pendency of statutory remedies should be decided at the earliest to avoid prejudice to the persons who have availed their remedy. In the case in hand, even after the passage of over two and a half years, the revision petition filed by the petitioner has not been decided. This inaction on the part of respondent No.2 cannot be appreciated.

In view of the above, respondent No.2 is directed to consider and decide the revision petition filed by the petitioner on

04.01.2013 (Annexure P-4) by passing a well reasoned and speaking order within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Before deciding the revision petition, the petitioner be granted an opportunity of hearing.

Needless to add that the decision so taken be communicated to the petitioner and if the decision is not to the satisfaction of the petitioner, he would be at liberty to challenge the same, in accordance with law.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

September 15, 2015

Jyoti 1