

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

233 (1)

Civil Writ Petition No. 20188 of 2014

Parveen and others

....Petitioners

versus

**The Transport Commissioner, Haryana-cum-Regional Transport
Authority, Gurgaon and others**

...Respondents

&

(2)

Civil Writ Petition No.20419 of 2014

Darshna Devi

...Petitioner

versus

**The Transport Commissioner, Haryana-cum-Regional Transport
Authority, Gurgaon and others**

...Respondents

&

(3)

Civil Writ Petition No.20425 of 2014

Surajmal

...Petitioner

versus

**The Transport Commissioner, Haryana-cum-Regional Transport
Authority, Gurgaon and others**

...Respondents

&

(4)

Civil Writ Petition No.20438 of 2014

Kamleshwati

...Petitioner

versus

**The Transport Commissioner, Haryana-cum-Regional Transport
Authority, Gurgaon and others**

...Respondents

(5) & Civil Writ Petition No.20439 of 2014

Surinder Kumar

...Petitioner

versus

The Transport Commissioner, Haryana-cum-Regional Transport Authority, Gurgaon and others

...Respondents

(6) & Civil Writ Petition No.20440 of 2014

Mukesh Kumar

...Petitioner

versus

The Transport Commissioner, Haryana-cum-Regional Transport Authority, Gurgaon and others

...Respondents

(7) & Civil Writ Petition No.20441 of 2014

Satbir Singh

...Petitioner

versus

The Transport Commissioner, Haryana-cum-Regional Transport Authority, Gurgaon and others

...Respondents

(8) & Civil Writ Petition No.20442 of 2014

Krishan Kumar

...Petitioner

versus

The Transport Commissioner, Haryana-cum-Regional Transport Authority, Gurgaon and others

...Respondents

&

(9)

Civil Writ Petition No.20443 of 2014

Dharampal Singh

...Petitioner

versus

The Transport Commissioner, Haryana-cum-Regional Transport
Authority, Gurgaon and others

...Respondents

&

(10)

Civil Writ Petition No.20444 of 2014

Ravinder

...Petitioner

versus

The Transport Commissioner, Haryana-cum-Regional Transport
Authority, Gurgaon and others

...Respondents

Date of decision: 25.05.2015

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes/No
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not? Yes/No
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes/No

Present: Mr. Lekh Raj Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

This order of mine will dispose of CWP Nos.20188, 20419,
20425, 20438 to 20444 of 2014 as the issue involved in these petitions is
identical.

Learned State counsel has brought to the notice of this Court

that the order has been passed on the applications moved by the petitioners whereby their claim has been rejected. The copy of order dated 22.05.2015 passed by Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Gurgaon has been produced in the Court today and the same is taken on record. A copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioners.

In view of order dated 22.05.2015 whereby the claim of the petitioners has been rejected, learned counsel for the petitioners wants to withdraw the present petitions with liberty to challenge the same by way of filing revision petition within a period of two weeks. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that some protection be provided till revision is filed as the respondents may take action on the basis of order dated 22.05.2015 which has not been conveyed to the petitioners. Meanwhile, the respondents are directed not to take any action on the basis of their rejection order during the period of two weeks.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

May 25, 2015
sonia g.