

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.20132 of 2014
Date of Decision: 06.10.2015

Ritupal

. . . .Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

. . . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: Mr.Parminder Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.Rajesh K. Sheoran, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

Mr.Jagdish Manchanda, Advocate,
for respondent No.8.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner, while referring to Annexure P-9, has submitted that land shown in green colour has been given to him whereas land shown in yellow colour is with the contesting respondents.

The only argument raised by learned counsel for the petitioner is that the passage given to the petitioner through the land of the respondents should have been on the dol of khasra No.12/9 & 8 instead of dol of Khasra No.12/19 & 8.

It is an admitted fact that this issue was not raised before the Financial Commissioner rather the only issue raised was to provide passage from the road to his land shown in green colour which has been given by the respondents by bifurcating their land.

The petitioner thus cannot be allowed to rake up a new controversy before this Court without there being any illegality in the orders passed by the Courts below. Hence, the present petition is found to be without any merit.

Dismissed.

06.10.2015

Vivek

**(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE**