

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP NO. 19358 of 2015

Date of Decision: 06.11.2015

Ranjit Singh ...Petitioner
Versus
Food Corporation of India and another ...Respondents.

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.J.Vazifdar, Acting Chief Justice
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Tejinder Singh Dhindsa.

Present : Mr. L.S.Sidhu, Advocate, for the petitioner
Mr. J.S.Puri, Advocate, for the respondents.

S.J.VAZIFDAR, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

The petitioner had possibly through inadvertence failed to click the freeze button. The petitioner's bid, therefore, could not be considered. The work has indeed been awarded to the only other eligible bidder i.e. respondent No.2.

2. Mr. J.S.Puri, learned counsel appearing for respondent No.1 states that there is no bar to the work being allotted in favour of even a sole bidder. Considering the nature of work, namely, transportation of food-grain, we would in the facts and circumstances of this case leave it to the first respondent to decide whether or not to accept the bid. Mr. J.S.Puri, Advocate states that respondent No.1 has considered the bid to be financially reasonable. He states that it is only 6.5% over the previous years rate. Considering the nature of work, we are not inclined to interfere in this petition.

3. The petition, is therefore, dismissed.

(S.J. VAZIFDAR)
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

06.11.2015

harjeet

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE