

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.111

CWP No.19278 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: 05.10.2015

Harbhagwan Tihal

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Arun Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

C.M. No.12644-CWP of 2015

Through the present application, the applicant-petitioner seeks to place on record the document Anneuxre P-13.

Allowed as prayed for.

CM stands disposed of.

Main Case

The petitioner who was working as Junior Engineer with the respondent-Haryana School Siksha Pariyojna Parishad, on contract basis, was served a show cause notice dated 15.04.2015, through which opportunity was granted to him to show cause why his services be not terminated as the Diploma in Civil Engineering possessed by him is from Kalinga University, which is not a recognized University.

To the show cause notice dated 15.04.2015, the petitioner has submitted a detailed reply. However, without a final decision

thereupon, the contract of service of the petitioner has not been extended.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that at this stage, he would be satisfied if a direction is given to respondent No.2-State Project Director, Sarv Shiksha Abhiyan Rashtriya Madhyamik Shiksha Abhiyan, to take a final decision on the show cause notice issued to the petitioner, as referred to above, within a time bound frame.

I find the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner to be reasonable.

Accordingly, at this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-State Project Director, Sarv Shiksha Abhiyan Rashtriya Madhyamik Shiksha Abhiyan to take a final decision on the show cause notice dated 15.04.2015 issued to the petitioner, by passing a well reasoned and speaking order and after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, preferably within two weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Needless to add that the decision so taken be communicated to the petitioner and if the decision is not to the satisfaction of the petitioner, he would be at liberty to challenge the same, in accordance with law.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

October 05, 2015

Jyoti 1