

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.19261 of 2015
Date of Decision: September 11, 2015

Bahadur Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH.

Present: Mr.H.K.Brinda, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Ms.Naiya Gill, Advocate, for caveator-respondent No.4

1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

Ms.Naiya Gill, Advocate is on caveat on behalf of
the Gram Panchayat-respondent No.4.

In view of the nature of order which we propose to
pass, it is not necessary to seek any counter-reply from the
respondents at this stage.

The challenge in the instant writ petition is to the
order dated 20.08.2015 passed by the Joint Development
Commissioner (IRD), Punjab-cum-Appellate Authority under
the Punjab Village Common Lands (Regulation) Act, 1961,
whereby stay has been declined to the petitioner and the main
appeal has been ordered to be listed for hearing on
17.09.2015.

Since warrants of possession have been issued and in case the petitioner is dispossessed, the appeal will render infructuous, we direct that status-quo re: possession shall be maintained till the appeal is decided by the Appellate Authority. It is further directed that the records of the lower court be requisitioned on the date fixed and thereafter let the appeal be decided within a period of two months.

Ordered accordingly.

Dasti.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

September 11, 2015
mohinder

[JASPAL SINGH]
JUDGE