

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-19046-2015

Date of decision:- 10.09.2015

M/s Integrated Casetech Consultants Pvt. Ltd.

...Petitioner

Versus

The Haryana State Cooperative Supply & Marketing Federation  
Ltd. and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.J. VAZIFDAR, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE**  
**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA**

Present: Mr. Surinder Mohan Sharma, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

\* \* \* \*

**S.J. VAZIFDAR, A.C.J. (ORAL)**

The petition is, to say the least, premature. The petitioner is one of the bidders pursuant to a notice inviting tenders issued by respondent No. 1. The petitioner contends that respondent No. 5 – M/s Global Cane Sugar Services Private Limited, which has also submitted a bid, will be relying upon a document to establish its eligibility. The petitioner's contention is that the contents of the document are false. The petitioner by a letter dated 11.05.2015 had raised objections to this document. Its objection, however, was addressed in respect of the first tender process which has now been abandoned. The petitioner presumes that the same document will be used by respondent No. 5 in the present tender process.

2. Firstly, the earlier tender process has been abandoned. The petitioner merely presumes that the same certificate will be used again by respondent No. 5. That is yet to be seen as the bids are to be opened only on 12.09.2015.

Secondly, the petitioner has not even addressed this apprehension to the first respondent which has invited the bids in respect of the present tender process. In other words, the petitioner has not informed the first respondent that respondent No. 5 has or is likely to rely upon the same certificate in respect whereof it has raised an objection.

3. We cannot presume at this stage that the same certificate will be relied upon by respondent No. 5. Nor is it possible for us to determine whether the contents thereof are false or not. These are issues which the first respondent must decide in the first instance. We have no reason to believe that the first respondent will not consider the validity of all the documents submitted by all the bidders including the document that the petitioner apprehends will be relied upon by respondent No. 5. We are confident that the first respondent will examine the validity of all the documents including the said document, if relied upon by respondent No. 5.

4. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

**(S.J. VAZIFDAR)**  
**ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE**

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)**  
**JUDGE**

**10.09.2015**  
Amodh