

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

108

Civil Writ Petition No.1904 of 2015

Date of Decision: March 25, 2015

Haryana Urban Development Authority

...Petitioner

Versus

Permanent Lok Adalat, Public Utility Services, Gurgaon and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Raman Gaur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

It is the contention of the counsel for the petitioner that the award passed by the Permanent Lok Adalat, Public Utility Services, Gurgaon, dated 29.04.2014 (Annexure P-7) cannot be sustained as in the allotment letter dated 22.10.1998 (Annexure P-1), it was specifically mentioned that the terms and conditions would be subject to the provisions of the Haryana Urban Development Authority Act, 1977 and Rules/Regulations applicable thereunder and as amended from time to time. Counsel for the petitioner contends that as per the Policy of Haryana Urban Development Authority dated 20.03.2012 does not permit the General Power of Attorney to transfer the ownership of the plot.

This contention of the counsel for the petitioner cannot be accepted as nothing has been brought on record that this policy instructions would be covered under any of the provisions of the Haryana Urban Development Authority Act, 1977 or the Rules/Regulations issued

thereunder. In the absence of any such provision in the Haryana Urban Development Authority Act or the Rules and Regulations, the contention of the counsel for the petitioner cannot be accepted.

In view of the above, finding no merit in the present writ petition, the same stands dismissed.

March 25, 2015

Puneet

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**