

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

1- CWP No. 22224 of 2013
Bijender Rana and ors. Petitioners
Versus
State of Haryana and ors. Respondents

2- CWP No. 22855 of 2013
Ram Mehar Singh Jaglan Petitioner
versus
State of Haryana and ors. ... Respondents

3- CWP No.23077 of 2013
Alka Chaudhary and ors. ... Petitioners
Versus
State of Haryana and ors. ... Respondents

4- CWP No.28405 of 2013
Parmal Singh ... Petitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and ors. ... Respondents

5- CWP No. 15289 of 2014
Dr. Ramphal Shastri ... Petitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and ors. ... Respondents

Date of Decision: 09.04.2015

--

**CORAM:HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SATISH KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU**

Present: Mr. Gurminder Singh, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Yagyadeep Singh, Advocate

Mr. R.K. Malik, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Kuldeep Sheoran, Advocate

Mr. K.D.S. Hooda, Advocate

Mr. Chander Singh Kaushik, Advocate

Mr. Ram Niwas Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Lokesh Sinhal, Addl. A.G., Haryana

CWP No. 22224 of 2013 --2-

Mr. H.N. Mehtani, Advocate
Mr. Kanwal Goyal, Advocate
for the respondents

--

SATISH KUMAR MITTAL,J.(ORAL)

The petitioners, in all the aforesaid five writ petitions have challenged the notification dated 16.09.2013 whereby the State Government exercising the powers conferred by the proviso to Rule 7 of the Haryana Civil Service (Executive Branch) Rules 2008, is seeking to fill up 20 posts of Haryana Civil Service (Executive Branch) to be filled through special recruitment.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State has placed on record another notification dated 10.02.2015 whereby the notification dated 16.09.2013 has been withdrawn.

In view of the said fact, learned counsel for the respondents state that all these writ petitions have become infructuous.

Learned counsel for the petitioners do not dispute the above stated factual position.

Ordered accordingly.

(**SATISH KUMAR MITTAL**)
JUDGE

(**HARINDER SINGH SIDHU**)
JUDGE

09.04.2015
dinesh