

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-19679-2014 (O&M)
Date of decision : 02.03.2015

Amarjit Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. V.K. Sandhir, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner, who retired on attaining the age of superannuation as S.S. Mistress, has not been granted increment, which has adverse repercussion on her pension. The learned counsel cites *Saroj Kumari Vs. State of Punjab, 1998(3) PLR 123*.

The learned counsel contends that for the redressal of his grievance, the petitioner has served a legal notice, Annexure P-1, however, the same has not been decided so far.

Keeping in view the prayer made in the petition, without advertng to the merits of the case, at this stage, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority to decide the legal notice, Annexure P-1, in the light of Saroj Kumari's case (supra), by passing a speaking order, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Disposed of.

02.03.2015
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE