

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.22167 of 2013
Date of decision : 30.04.2015

Sheela

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the State contends that relief sought in the present petition by the petitioner has been granted vide Annexure R-1, therefore, no cause survives in the present petition.

Be that as it may. In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the State, the present writ petition is rendered infructuous

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. The petitioner shall be at liberty to challenge the order (Annexure R-1), if in case she is aggrieved of.

30.04.2015

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**