

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.1893 of 2015

Date of Decision.06.02.2015

Ashwani Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Rajesh Hooda, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-. -

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The writ petition is not maintainable, for, a person who is considered for appointment has no vested right to be appointed. The issue is not *res integra* and is set down in the decisions in **Shankarsan Dash Vs. Union of India 1991(3) SCC 47** and **State of U.P. Vs. Bibhakar Dwivedi 2003(12) SCC 62**. So long as there is no case made that any person in the particular category in which the petitioner had applied has been issued with orders of appointment, the petitioner cannot say for the mere fact that he was considered fit for selection as affording to him right of appointment.

2. The writ petition is not maintainable and it is dismissed.

(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE

February 06, 2015

Pankaj*