

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.18889 of 2015
Date of Decision:-09.09.2015

Ram Bhul

..... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: - Mr.Ravinder Malik (Ravi), Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Respondent No.4 has been appointed as Lambardar by the Collector and the said order has been maintained upto the Financial Commissioner. It is well settled that the choice of the Collector is not required to be disturbed unless any perversity is shown by the petitioner.

I am satisfied with the orders passed by the revenue authorities as there is no perversity in their orders. The petitioner cannot challenge the appointment of respondent No.4 only on the basis of re-appreciation of respective merits and demerits.

In view of the aforesaid observations, I do not find any merit in the present petition.

Dismissed.

09.09.2015

Vivek

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE