

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

218

Civil Writ Petition No.25248 of 2012
Date of decision: 07.05.2015

Sudesh Kumar Balmiki and othersPetitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment? Yes/No
2. To be referred to reporters or not? Yes/No
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes/No

Present: Mr. Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel has placed on record copy of communication dated 30.04.2015 addressed to the Advocate General, Haryana wherein it has been mentioned that no other employee has been appointed in place of the petitioners on contract basis.

In view of the submission made by learned State counsel, no direction is required to be issued in this case.

However, in case, the petitioners are replaced by any other contractual employees then they are at liberty to approach the authority or to avail appropriate remedy in accordance with law.

The petition stands disposed of.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

May 07, 2015
sonia g.