

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.18844 of 2015

Date of Decision:08/09/2015

Ghan Shyam and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Anurag Goyal, Advocate for the petitioners.

1. To be referred to the reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that at this stage, he would be satisfied if the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to take a decision on the legal notice dated 11.06.2015 (Annexure P-8) as also to respondent No.4 to take a decision on the legal notice dated 1.6.2015 (Annexure P-9) issued on behalf of the petitioners.

I find the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners to be reasonable.

Accordingly, at this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to take a decision on the legal notice dated 11.06.2015 (Annexure P-8) as also to respondent No.4 to take a decision on the legal notice dated 1.6.2015 (Annexure P-9) issued on behalf of the petitioners by passing a speaking order and after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, preferably within four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Needless to add that the decision so taken be communicated to the petitioners and if the decision is not to the satisfaction of the petitioners, they would be at liberty to challenge the same, in accordance with law.

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

08.09.2015

rajeev