

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

C.W.P. No. 18835 of 2015

Date of Decision: September 08, 2015

Rakesh Dhir and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH

Present: Mr. Tribhawan Singla, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Paramjeet Singh, J. (Oral)

Instant writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent no.2 to decide the revision petition dated 17.12.2014 (Annexure P/3) filed by respondent no.7.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that partition proceedings were initiated at the instance of petitioners. Virtually, those proceedings have become final. However, straightway revision petition was filed by respondent no. 7 before the Financial Commissioner and the same is still pending. Learned counsel further contends that all the

respondents in the revision petition have been served.

In these circumstances, instant writ petition is disposed of, without issuing notice to the respondents, with a direction to the Financial Commissioner to decide the revision petition on the date fixed or within a week thereafter. If record is required, the same shall be summoned through special messenger.

September 08, 2015
vkd

[Paramjeet Singh]
Judge