

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

202

**RSA No.299 of 2007(O & M)
Date of Decision:01.12.2015**

Gurdeep Kaur (deceased)th.LRs.

....appellants

Versus

Kailasho and others

.....respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

- 1.Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgement?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr.Shokeen Singh Verma, Advocate for
Mr.R.M.Singh, Advocate
for the appellants

Mr.Rajinder Goyal, Advocate
for the respondents

ARUN PALLI, J.(ORAL):-

Learned counsel for the appellants submits that despite repeated reminders to the appellants, neither the appellants nor anybody on their behalf has contacted his office, and thus, the appeal be dismissed for non prosecution. But, in the event, any dispute, cause of action or interest still survives, the appellants be set at liberty to move an appropriate application for restoration of the appeal for decision on merits.

Dismissed for non-prosecution, with liberty as prayed for.

01.12.2015

neenu

**(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE**