

**272 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-5412-2011

Date of decision: October 15, 2015

ANUPAMA BHATIA AND ORS

....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Mr. S.K. Daaria, Advocate for
 Mr. R.V.S. Chugh, Advocate
 for the petitioners.

Mr. Anil Sharma, Addl. A.G, Punjab.

LISA GILL, J.(ORAL)

Prayer in this writ petition is for regularizing service of the petitioners on the basis of parity with teaching fellow and education service providers as per order/ordinance dated 20th October, 2010. Further prayer is addressed for directing the respondents to extend the benefit of orders/ordinance dated 2nd October, 2010 to the petitioners on their fulfilling the required term.

Petitioners in this case were appointed as computer teachers on contractual basis. Their services were continued on the basis of the periodic extensions. Petitioners through this writ petition stake claim for regularization on the basis of the aforementioned orders (Anneuxres P5 and P6).

In the reply filed on behalf of respondents No.1, 2, 4 to 10, it is submitted that services of all the petitioners have been regularized on different dates as per order Memo No.1/49/2009-2E7/9141-9184 dated 02.12.2010 (Annexure R-1/1) and Memo No.1/4/09-2E7/2494-2536 dated 05.07.2011 (Anneuxre R-1/2).

Learned counsel for the petitioners had sought time on the last date to verify the said position. It is not denied that all the petitioners have since been regularized. However, it is submitted that they are entitled to regularization from an earlier date.

In view of the relief sought for in this writ petition having been granted, present writ petition is indeed rendered infructuous and is disposed of accordingly. However, it would be open to the petitioners to submit requisite representations before the authorities for any grievance which they still might have. If any such representation/representations are submitted within four weeks from today, same be decided expeditiously by the competent authority, preferably within a period of four months thereafter.

October 15, 2015
m. sharma

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE