

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.18655 of 2015
Decided on : 22.12.2015**

Sapinder Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA

Present : Mr.C.L.Pawar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr.Aman Bahri, Addl.A.G., Punjab.

G.S. Sandhawalia , J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks a direction for continuance of her service and not to replace her with a similar ad hoc arrangement. Further prayer has been made for restraining the respondents from dispensing with the services of the petitioner and to allow her to continue till such time regular appointments are made.

Admittedly, the petitioner was appointed on contractual basis, in pursuance of an advertisement dated 03.08.2010, as a Dress Making Instructor, on a consolidated salary of Rs.10,000/- per month. She continued till 22.03.2013, as per Annexure P2.

In the reply, filed by the respondents, it has been mentioned that due to change of qualification for the post of Instructor, the petitioner was not being considered for extension of her contract for the post of Dress Making Instructor, as a guest

faculty.

The petitioner was appointed on contractual basis and the same had not been extended nor any person has been appointed against her, as a guest faculty teacher. In such circumstances, the contention of counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is being replaced by a similarly situated person, is without any basis, at this point of time. The petitioner has no vested right, as such, to continue, in case the petitioner's contract has not been extended.

However, it is made clear that the respondents shall not fill up the said post, on contractual basis, again, by employing another guest teacher. In case a person has to be employed, on the same terms and conditions, the petitioner will be given preference.

With the above said observations, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

DECEMBER 22, 2015
sailesh

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE