

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.5233 of 2011
Date of Decision: 01.09.2015

M/s Aman Securities and Detective

. . . .Petitioner

Versus

Chandigarh Administration and others

. . . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: Mr.Akshay Bhan, Sr. Advocate, with
Mr.Harparteek Singh Sandhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms.Deepali Puri, Advocate,
for the respondents.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)

The operative part of the order under challenge read as
under: -

*“Now, therefore, after considering the
totality of circumstances the
undersigned is of the confirmed view
that the said contractor i.e. M/s Aman
Security & Detectives (Regd.), House
No.3950, Sector 47D, Chandigarh has
committed aforesaid serious
irregularities during the period of
contract. Keeping in view the nature of
the irregularities as committed by the
contractor, the undersigned hereby
blacklist the aforesaid M/s Aman
Security & Detectives (Regd.), House
No.3950, Sector 47D, Chandigarh from*

VIVEK PAHWA
2015.09.07 13:24
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

participating in any tender floated by the Health Department for a period of 3 years, with immediate effect.”

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that since the period of three years has since been expired, therefore, the impugned order has ceased to have any effect on the civil rights of the petitioner but despite that the Health and Family Welfare Department, Chandigarh Administration has not taken off the name of the petitioner from the list of blacklisted firms.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has not contested this issue because the petitioner was blacklisted only for a period of three years from the date the order for blacklisting the petitioner was passed on 7.3.2011.

In view thereof, the present writ petition is hereby disposed of as having become infructuous as the period of three years have already been over from the date of passing of the impugned order dated 7.3.2011 but respondent No.3 is directed to remove the name of the petitioner from the list of blacklisted firms so that the petitioner may be able to participate in the tenders, which may be floated by the respondents/department in future.

With these observations, the present writ petition is hereby disposed of.

01.09.2015

Vivek

**(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE**