

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 5229 of 2011

Date of Decision:.30.10.2015

Poonam Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & ors.

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: None for the petitioner.

Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Atul Gaur, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

1. To be referred to the reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

On August 18, 2015 this Court has passed the following
order:-

*The case has been adjourned several time
on the request made on behalf of the petitioner. Today
again, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner prays for an adjournment. However, there is
no justification to adjourn the matter.*

*However, in the interest of justice, one last
opportunity is granted to the counsel for the petitioner to
argue the matter.*

In spite of the aforesaid order, even on the third call no one is
present on behalf of the petitioner.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

30.10.2015
rimpal