

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 1920 of 2014.

Date of Decision : 17.09.2015

Narain

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH

- 1) *Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2) *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3) *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present: Mr. G.C. Shahpuri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajneesh Chadwal, D.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Peeush Gagneja, Advocate for respondent No. 3.

Paramjeet Singh, J.(Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent No. 2 to conduct a proper enquiry as per the contents made in the representation made by the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that an enquiry is being conducted against Sh. Dev Karan Sharma Sarpanch, respondent No. 3 by Deputy Commissioner, Palwal, District Palwal i.e. respondent No. 2, which has not been finalized in spite of the fact that a period of at least two years has lapsed.

If that is so, respondent No. 2 is directed to conclude the enquiry and take a decision with regard to the representation moved by the petitioner to him on 04.03.2013 (Annexure P-6) and pass appropriate order in accordance with law. Needful shall be done within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. The order shall be communicated to the petitioner.

Disposed of.

September 17, 2015.
kanchan

(PARAMJEET SINGH)
JUDGE