IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.18487 of 2015
Date of Decision: 03.09.2015

Balvir Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others ... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Ms. Prabhjot Kaur, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.

It is rather strange that a Principal of a Government school should come to the Writ Court to seek a direction to the State of Punjab and senior officials in the Education Department to decide his representation dated April 15, 2015 in which he has raised the issue of bogus attendance of teachers working under him. If a Principal cannot control and supervise his own teachers and staff the writ jurisdiction is not the appropriate remedy to take the help of. At the level of a Principal he is free to talk to the Administrative Secretary of the department to sort out the affairs in the school, if they are not to his liking and he is helpless.

The only prayer made in the petition is for a direction to the respondents to decide the representation dated April 15, 2015 which prayer is rejected since this Court is not a post office to forward representations of memorials to quarters concerned in the executive. A mandamus cannot be

MANJU
2015.09.07 12:29
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
High Court Chandigarh

issued on the asking to exercise the high prerogative writ under Article 226 of the Constitution for the cause and grievance raised in the petition.

There is no merit in the petition which is ordered to stand dismissed.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

03.09.2015
manju

MANJU
2015.09.07 12:29
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
High Court Chandigarh