

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.18448 of 2015

Date of Decision :2.9.2015

Karamjit Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State Information Commissioner,
Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER

....

Present: Mr. Sahil Gambhir, Advocate for
Ms.Sharmila Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

.....

MAHESH GROVER.J.

The petitioner impugns the order of the Appellate Authority under the Right to Information Act to contend that incomplete/incorrect information has been given. The petitioner had pleaded that revenue entry pertaining to Vasika No.52 dated 8.4.1996 be given along with the date on which it was registered and whether it was attested or not. While disposing of the appeal the Appellate Authority observed as under :-

“After hearing both the parties, it is ascertained that the information sought by the appellant has been provided by the respondent. The respondent has further clarified that the correct date of registration of Vasika no.52 is 08.04.1996 and that due

to clerical mistake it has been mentioned as 04.04.1996 and the information has accordingly been provided to the appellant. Since the information as available on record has been provided to the appellant, the Appeal Case is hereby disposed of and closed.”

If the aforesaid is perused, then evidently the petitioner has been given information along with explanation of an erroneous date. Whether it is attested or not would also be evident from the document itself. Therefore, since the petitioner is in the know of the information, I am of the opinion that the perception of the petitioner that the information is incomplete or incorrect is totally unfounded.

No ground to interfere.

Dismissed.

2.9.2015
dss

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE