

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.24658 of 2012

Date of Decision:27.07.2015

Dimple Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI.

**Present: Mr.T.P.S.Tung, Advocate,
for the petitioner.**

Mr.Pankaj Mulwani, DAG, Punjab.

- 1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
- 2. To be referred to the reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?**

ARUN PALLI, J.(oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as the petitioner has been reinstated in service, nothing substantive survives in the instant petition and the same has become infructuous.

Dismissed as having become infructuous.

July 27, 2015
seema

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE