

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.4989 of 2011

Date of decision: 20.10.2015

Munshi Ram

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Sandeep Kumar Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, AAG, Haryana.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner who was working as an Inspector with the Directorate of Food and Supply, Government of Haryana, was charge sheeted for having recorded less storage gain in the wheat stock for the year 2009-10 as prescribed by the norms through instructions of the Government dated 29.04.1999 (Annexure R-1). For having recorded less storage gain, 43% of the total loss as per instructions of the Government dated 19.01.1989 (Annexure R-2) were sought to be recovered from the petitioner. The petitioner filed his response to the charge sheet which was considered and rejected through the punishment order dated 30.08.2010 (Annexure P-1). The appeal filed against the punishment order was also dismissed vide order dated 13.12.2010 (Annexure P-2). The punishment order and the order passed by the Appellate

Authority have been challenged in the present writ petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record with their able assistance.

No procedural lapse in the departmental proceedings undertaken against the petitioner has been pointed out. It is also not disputed that the storage gain recorded by the petitioner was not within the prescribed norms.

It may further be noticed that along with the petitioner, five other employees were charge sheeted who after accepting their proven guilt, have deposited the amount sought to be recovered.

In view of the above finding no merit, the present petition is ordered to be dismissed.

No costs.

(Deepak Sibal)
Judge

20.10.2015
anju rani