
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.18218 of 2015

Date of decision: 31.8.2015

Sushil Kumar and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mr. Daman Dhir, Advocate for the petitioner.

G.S.SANDHAWALIA, J. (Oral)

Prayer made in the present writ petition is for issuance of Mandamus directing the respondents to grant benefit of revised pay scale of ₹ 10,300-34,800/- along with arrears with effect from 1.12.2011 to the petitioners who were working as Time Clerks on the ground that it has been granted to their counter parts who were working in the different divisions of the same department.

It is the case of the petitioners that post of Time Clerk was equated to the post of Clerk in 1969 and they were getting the similar pay scale. From 15.12.2011 on account of revision of pay scale of the Clerks they were granted the pay scale of ₹ 10,300-34,800/- with grade pay of ₹ 3200/- but the petitioners were not given the same relief. It is further case of the petitioners that even within the same department similarly employed Time Clerks are getting the same revised pay scale. Reference has been made to office order dated 20.3.2012 (Annexure P/5) to show that one Puran Singh, Time Clerk, working in the office of Executive Engineer, Field Mech. & C.P. Division, RSD, Shahpurkandi had been granted the pay scale of ₹ 10,300-34,800/- with grade pay of ₹ 3200/-. It is submitted that the petitioners have submitted a representation dated 9.7.2015 (Annexure P/7) to respondent no.3 for granting the similar benefits for maintaining parity but no action is being taken.

Counsel for the petitioners submits that he would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent no.3 to consider and decide the representation dated 9.7.2015 (Annexure P/7) within a specified period.

Without going into merits of the case and keeping in view the limited relief sought for, the present petition is disposed off without calling the respondents to file their reply.

Respondent no.3 is directed to take a decision on the representation dated 9.7.2015 (Annexure P/7) within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Needless to say that in case the relief is to be denied to the petitioners then reasoned order be passed by the said respondent.

The writ petition stands disposed of accordingly.

August 31, 2015
Pka

(G.S.SANDHAWALIA)
Judge