

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.21438 of 2013

Date of Decision: March 03, 2015

Raj Kumar & others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Ms.Rajni Bala, Advocate,
for Mr.Gurinder Pal Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr.Ravi Pratap Singh, AAG, Haryana,
for the State.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the relief sought in the present writ petition has already been granted to the petitioners and, therefore, the writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

In view of the statement made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the writ petition is disposed of as infructuous.

However, liberty is granted to the petitioners to move an appropriate application for revival of the writ petition, if need be.

March 03, 2015
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE