

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

SANJEEV KUMAR
2015.01.13 17:32

CWP No.18811 of 2014
Date of Decision.12.01.2015

Shubham s/o Sh. Hisham SinghPetitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Keshav Gupta, AAG, Haryana.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-. -

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. There is no representation for the petitioner. Learned counsel for the respondents, however, states that the prayer in the writ petition has been rendered infructuous. There is a prayer for assignment of roll number. The counsel says that the roll number has been issued, examination have been held and nothing further survives for consideration.

2. The writ petition is disposed of as having become infructuous.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

January 12, 2015
Pankaj*