

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.18088 of 2015

Date of decision: August 28, 2015.

RASHPAL SINGH

... **Petitioner**

v.

NATIONAL HIGHWAY
AUTHORITY OF INDIA AND ORS

... **Respondents**

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HEMANT GUPTA**
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RAJ RAHUL GARG

Present: Shri M.K. Garg, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Hemant Gupta, J. (oral)

The petitioner has sought a writ of mandamus not to disburse the amount of Award dated 10.10.2014 to respondent No.3.

A perusal of the record shows that the brother of the present petitioner has filed a civil suit claiming title over the property, which was decreed on 21.4.2011. The petitioner has filed an application for setting aside the ex-parte decree, which is pending consideration.

Since the matter is pending before the Civil Court, we find that the petitioner has no cause for claiming of a writ of mandamus restraining the official respondents from disbursement of compensation to his brother, i.e., respondent No.3.

Dismissed.

However, the petitioner will be at liberty to avail such remedy, as may be available to him in accordance with law.

[Hemant Gupta]
Judge

[Raj Rahul Garg]
Judge

August 28, 2015.
kadyan