

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 21231 of 2013

Date of Decision:..27.08.2015

Lavedeep Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana & ors.

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Gopal Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Saurabh Arora, Advocate
for respondent No.6.

1. To be referred to the reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Faced with the judgment of this Court dated 21.01.2011 in CWP No.5590 of 2010 titled as Smt. Hakimani versus State of Haryana & ors. wherein while relying on the judgment of the Apex Court in **State of Karnataka and others versus Ameerbi and others** 2007(1) SCT 601 and also the Division Bench of this Court in CWP No.244 of 2007 titled as **Smt. Darshana Devi versus State of Haryana and others**, it has been pronounced that in the case of Anganwari workers a writ petition would not be maintainable, the counsel for the petitioner seeks withdrawal of the writ petition with liberty to raise the grievance raised in this petition before a Civil Court.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

27.08.2015
rimpal