

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.18668 of 2014(O&M)
Date of decision: 16.07.2015

Tilak Raj Khanna

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Pawan Kumar Goklaney, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

Having argued the matter at some length, learned counsel for the petitioner prays that he be permitted to withdraw the petition, so as to represent the authorities as regards the change in circumstances, particularly in the wake of the two years' extension granted to the petitioner post the age of his superannuation i.e. w.e.f. 01.03.2012 to 28.02.2014.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

July 16, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge