

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.1797 of 2015

Date of Decision.02.02.2015

Mrs. Neeru Sidhu

.....Petitioner

Versus

The Society of Prevention to Cruelty to Animals and others

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Aayush Arora, Advocate for
Mr. Gurpeet Singh Dhillon, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ? No
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ? No
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? No

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. There is simply no scope for interference to an order of termination of life membership for the petitioner for not responding to the show cause notice issued which is admittedly received through e-mail on 29.11.2014. Misconduct was attributed to the petitioner and the impugned order shows that she had been granted an opportunity to give her reply on 04.12.2014 which she did not. Again, the petitioner had been granted opportunity on 05.12.2014 and she was reported to have received the papers, tore up the papers, put them into bits of papers and returned it to them which the impugned order recites is being preserved by the SGPA. Yet another opportunity is also reported to have been given on 08.12.2014 and even that opportunity was not availed. The petitioner has been offered sufficient opportunity to explain her conduct. If the petitioner would show mere defiance and state that the

respondents were motivated and denied to her the continuance on the honorary job, I do not think any interference would be called for to a person who exhibits such an irascible conduct. The petitioner would well do to serve the cause of prevention of cruelty to animals elsewhere if she thinks that particular society which is being run is not now being run properly.

2. The writ petition is dismissed.

(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE

February 02, 2015

Pankaj*