

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****CWP No.1788 of 2015
Date of decision:02.02.2015**

Pawan Kumar and others

...Petitioners

Versus

The Haryana State Agricultural Marketing Board and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr.Anil Kumar Singh Goyat, Advocate for the petitioners.**RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)**

Feeling aggrieved against the alleged inaction on the part of respondent authorities, petitioners have approached this Court by way of instant writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus.

Learned counsel for the petitioners, at the very outset, fairly states that although petitioners are seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus, yet they did not approach the respondent authorities because of inadvertence and bonafide mistake on their part. He further submits that given an opportunity, petitioners shall approach the competent authority, i.e. respondent No.2 by moving an appropriate representation within a period of three weeks from today and respondent No.2 may be directed to consider and decide the same, within a reasonable time.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, including the issue of limitation, if any, lest it should prejudice the rights of either of the

parties, the Chief Administrator, Haryana State Agricultural Marketing Board-respondent No.2, is directed that if the petitioners approach him by moving an appropriate representation within a period of three weeks from today, he shall consider and decide the same, keeping in view the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Hargurpratap Singh v. State of Punjab and others, 2007(13) SCC 292**, at an early date, by passing an appropriate order thereon, strictly in accordance with law, but in any case within a period of two months from the date of receipt of representation from the petitioners.

With the above-said observations made and directions issued, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

02.02.2015
mks

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE