

**THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 20942 of 2013 (O&M)
Date of decision: February 4, 2015

Salochana Devi

...Petitioner

Versus

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Rakesh Nagpal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. PS Poonia, Advocate,
for the respondents.

K. KANNAN, J. (Oral)

CM No. 12745 of 2014

Learned counsel for the respondents seeks for withdrawal of the application to file a better and appropriate reply. The application is dismissed as withdrawn.

CWP No. 20942 of 2013

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the matter has been compromised between the parties and seeks for withdrawal of the writ petition itself. Dismissed as withdrawn.

February 4, 2015
prem

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE