

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.17649 of 2015

Date of decision: 28.10.2015

Sonika Rathore

...Petitioner

Versus

Panjab University and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mr. Neeraj Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. K.L.Saini, Advocate for
Mr. Rajesh Sheoran, Advocate for respondent No.5.

Ms.Madhvi Pundir, Advocate for
Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate for respondent no.7.

G.S.SANDHAWALIA, J, (Oral)

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner does not come under the zone of consideration for admission in the spot round of counselling. It is further submitted that the petitioner has deposited a sum of ₹ 40,000/- which was debited from the bank account.

It is pointed out that as per Clause 4.12.3 of the Information Brochure (Annexure P/1) if the seat is not allotted in the spot round of counselling, spot round participation fee of ₹ 40,000/- is liable to be fully refunded. Paragraph 6 of the written statement of respondents no.1 to 6 is also to the same effect which reads as under:-

“That in routine, the fee of unsuccessful candidates is returned back to them in accordance with the procedure formulated and depicted in the Prospectus of admission and as such the amount deposited by the petitioner shall also be returned in due course.”

Accordingly, counsel for the petitioner submits that the present writ petition may be dismissed as not pressed, however, the respondent-University be directed to refund the said amount.

Accordingly, the present writ petition is dismissed as not pressed. The respondent-University will, however, refund the amount of ₹ 40,000/- deposited as spot round participation fee by the petitioner within a period of three weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

October 28, 2015

Pka

(G.S.SANDHAWALIA)

Judge

RADEEP KUMAR ARORA
2015.10.29 10:22
I attest to the accuracy and integrity
of this document
Punjab and Haryana High Court,
Chandigarh