

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.18241 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of Decision:27/08/2015

Ram Kumar and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. J.S. Maanipur, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. D.A.G. Haryana.

1. To be referred to the reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

C.M. No.11064 of 2015

Through this application, replication to the written statement on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3, is sought to be placed on record.

Allowed as prayed for.

Civil miscellaneous application stands disposed of.

Main case

The petitioners, who are four in number, were working as Beldars with the respondents-department. Vide order dated 13.8.2014, their services were regularized.

It is the admitted case between the parties that the order of regularization of the services of the petitioners was withdrawn/cancelled vide the orders impugned by them. It is further not disputed that before passing the impugned orders no notice or opportunity of hearing was granted to the petitioners.

In view of the above, the withdrawal of orders of regularization of the services of the petitioners which entail civil consequences upon

them could have not been passed without notice to them. In addition, a perusal of the impugned orders show that the same are bereft of any reason.

In view of the above, the impugned orders dated 29.8.2014 (Annexures P-11 to P-15) are quashed. However, liberty is granted to respondent No.2 to pass fresh orders but only after complying with the principles of natural justice and by passing speaking orders.

The writ petition is disposed of accordingly.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

27.08.2015
rajeev