

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 18225 of 2014.  
Date of Decision : 05.10.2015.

Tara Chand

...Petitioner

**Versus**

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH**

- 1) *Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2) *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3) *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present: Mr. Shailender Mohan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajneesh Chadwal, D.A.G., Haryana.

Dr. Parveen Hans, Advocate  
for respondents No. 5 to 8.

\*\*\*

**Paramjeet Singh, J.(Oral)**

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that water course was allowed to the private respondents No. 5 to 8 for a period of one year and in view of judgment passed by a Division Bench of this Court in CWP No. 8571 of 2007 titled as 'Atma Ram Vs. Divisional Canal Officer, Hisar and others' (Annexure P-10), the present petition has become infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

**October 05, 2015.**  
*kanchan*

**(PARAMJEET SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**