

115
IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.17462 of 2015
Date of Decision: August 24, 2015

M/s. Kumar Enterprises through its Prop. Kumar Midha Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present: Mr. K.K. Goel, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Yadav, AAG, Punjab.

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

The petitioner has been awarded the Transport Contract by the Food Corporation of India. His grievance is that respondent No.9-Union, which is a local truck operator at Abohar is not allowing the petitioner to carry on the work as per contract by hiring trucks from the sources of his choice.

It is stated that the petitioner has already submitted a representation to the SSP, Fazilka (Annexure P-2).

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.3 to take a decision on the said representation.

Accordingly, without delving deep into the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.3 to look into the representation of the petitioner and ensure that he is not prevented in any manner from carrying on his lawful business activities.

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE

24.08.2015
dkw