

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.17396 of 2015

Date of Decision:24/09/2015

Parmila Devi and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Jitender Dhanda, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. D.A.G. Haryana.

1. To be referred to the reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioners, who are working as Extension Lecturers, have approached this Court for issuance of a direction to the respondents not to replace them by another set of Extension Lecturers.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. D.A.G. Haryana, who appears on behalf of the respondents, states that in view of the affidavit of the Additional Chief Secretary to Government of Haryana, Department of Higher Education dated 24.9.2015 filed in CWP No.17108 of 2015; Sukhvinder Kaur and others v. State of Haryana and others, the petitioners shall be allowed to continue on their posts as Extension Lecturers subject to their work and conduct and availability of posts.

In view of the statement made by the learned State counsel, learned counsel for the petitioners does not press the present petition.

Dismissed as not pressed.

However, for the other reliefs as raised in the present petition, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission of this Court to make a comprehensive representation to the respondents in this regard.

Permitted to do so.

If any such representation, the liberty of which is sought and got for, is made by the petitioners, the same would be considered and decided by respondent No.2 by passing a well reasoned and speaking order thereupon expeditiously.

Needless to add that the decision so taken be communicated to the petitioners and if the decision is not to the satisfaction of the petitioners, they would be at liberty to challenge the same, in accordance with law.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

24.09.2015
rajeev