

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.18088 of 2014
Date of decision: 07.04.2015

Kavinder Kumar and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. PS Khurana, Advocate, for the petitioners.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

Writ in the nature of mandamus is prayed for, to direct the respondents to grant the benefits of service rendered by the petitioners on the post of ETT Teacher under Sarv Shiksha Abhiyan, in terms of the notification dated 24.03.2006 (Annexure P4). Further, a direction to the respondents to afford all consequential benefits. It is maintained that prior to the institution of this petition, petitioners had served upon the respondents a legal notice dated 10.09.2013 (Annexure P11), followed by a reminder dated 08.02.2014 (Annexure P12), but to no avail.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that, at this stage, let this petition be disposed of with a direction to respondents No.1 & 2, to consider and decide the notice

served by the petitioners within a specified time. And by passing a speaking order.

That being so, and without expressing any opinion on merits, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents No.1 & 2, to consider and decide the claim of the petitioners, as set out in their notice dated 10.09.2013 (Annexure P11), strictly in accordance with law, within a period of 3 months from the receipt of certified copy of this order. Needless to assert, a comprehensive order shall be passed, assigning reasons in support of the decision arrived at.

April 7, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge