

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Civil Writ Petition No.17339 of 2015

Date of decision: 20.8.2015

Inderjeet Rattan

... Petitioner

Versus

The Principal Secretary, Government of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr.Gian Chand Rattan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has approached this Court for directions to the respondents to consider his claim of compassionate appointment in place of his late father. He has filed several representations Annexures P-4 to P-8 and a legal notice Annexure P-9 dated 7.4.2015 but no action has been taken thereon.

Learned counsel for the petitioner would be satisfied in the circumstances of the case if this Court issues directions to the competent authority in the respondents to consider and decide the representations mentioned above.

The request is fair and reasonable.

A direction is issued to the competent authority in the

respondents to consider and decide the representations Annexures P-4 to P-8 and the legal notice [P-9] within 3 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. In making the final order, the petitioner would be heard and a speaking order passed and communicated to the petitioner.

With these directions, the petition stands disposed of.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

August 20, 2015
Paritosh Kumar