

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Civil Writ Petition No.17256 of 2015

Date of decision: 20.8.2015

Ram Sarup

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr.Sandeep Lather, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral)

Contends that the claim of the petitioner for the benefit of ACP arising in the year 2004 is based on a decision of this Court in CWP No.647 of 2012 [P-6] dated 11.1.2012. The petitioner sent a legal notice [P-10] for the grant of the said benefit but his claim has not been decided so far.

Learned counsel for the petitioner would be satisfied in the circumstances of the case if this Court issues directions to the competent authority in the respondents to consider and decide the legal notice [P-10].

The request is fair and reasonable.

A direction is issued to the competent authority in the respondents to consider and decide the legal notice [P-10] within 2 months

CWP No.17256 of 2015

: 2 :

from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. In case hearing is necessary, the petitioner would be heard and a speaking order passed and communicated to the petitioner.

With these directions, the petition stands disposed of.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

August 20, 2015
Paritosh Kumar