

CWP No.17938 of 2014

Date of Decision : 16.01.2015

Rajesh Arora

..... Petitioner

versus

Union of India and others

..... Respondents

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.KANNAN

Present: Mr. S.K. Passi, Advocate  
for the petitioner.Mr. P.S. Walia, Advocate for  
Mr. Karminder Singh, Advocate  
for respondents No.1 and 2.Mr. Sumeet Goyal, Advocate with  
Mr. Sangram Singh, Advocate  
for respondents No.5 and 6.

Ms. Vandana Malhotra, Addl. AG., Punjab.

K.Kannan, J (Oral)

Learned counsel for respondents No.5 and 6 states that there has been some lapse on their part in not effecting supplies which was on an assumption that the petitioner's licence had expired. It is learnt that there had been an application for renewal of licence before the expiry date and therefore there is no impediment for effecting the supplies to the petitioner.

Counsel also undertakes to make supplies if indents are made for respondents No.5 and 6. The statement of the counsel is taken on record and the petitioner will indent on his requirements in the requisite formats to respondent No.6 on which receipt the 6<sup>th</sup> respondent will effect the supplies. The petitioner will be entitled to approach the Court for any

disobedience of the undertaking given by the 6<sup>th</sup> respondent counsel through appropriate proceedings for contempt independently.

Writ petition is disposed of.

**(K. KANNAN)**  
**JUDGE**

**January 16, 2015**

*ps-I*