

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 17866 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 08.01.2015.

Chandan Singh & another

--Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & others

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Anil Kumar, Advocate for the petitioners.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J

The petitioners are serving as Conductors under the Haryana State Transport Department. The grievance portrayed in the instant petition is that based on a tentative seniority list inter se Conductors, promotions have been effected to the post of Sub Inspector in the scale of Rs.5200-20,200+2400 (Grade Pay) vide order dated 7.7.2014 (Annexure P-5).

Contention raised by the counsel is that even as per the tentative seniority list which has been acted upon by the respondent authorities, the petitioners are senior to some of the Conductors, who have been promoted as Sub Inspectors. It is argued that the action of the respondent authorities in not finalizing the seniority in the first instance and on the other hand even ignoring persons, who are senior in the tentative seniority list such as the petitioners, is arbitrary and violative of Articles 14 and 16 of the Constitution of India. Counsel further submits that even a representation with regard to such grievance has already been filed.

Without going into the correctness of the averments made in the petition and without going into the merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the instant writ petition with a direction to

respondent no.2 i.e. the Director General, State Transport, Haryana to consider the grievance of the petitioners and to take a final decision on the representation dated 12.7.2014 (Annexure P-6), strictly in accordance with law and by passing a speaking order, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

January 08, 2015.
lucky