

M/S SHREE GANESH WOOLEN MILLS AND ORS VS CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION AND ORS

Present: Mr.Rohit Suri, Advocate for the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners refers to the list of properties owned by the petitioners in Annexure P-11 and para 3 as well as 4 (g), (h) and (i) of his application (Annexure P-1) under the Right to Information Act, 2005 ('the Act' for short), to contend, inter alia, that once different properties of the petitioners have been put to auction, he had every right to know as to how the auction proceedings were conducted and the respondent-bank could not have sought exemption under Section 8(1) (d) of the Act. So far as the observations made by the Central Information Commissioner in para 9 of the impugned order are concerned, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have specifically denied that they did not bring either any videographer or media person inside the NIC room, as wrongly alleged against the petitioners.

Notice of motion for 24.4.2015.

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

02.02.2015
mks